

EXHIBIT 12

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State number, and address): WILLIAM J. GOINES (SBN 61290) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 1900 University Avenue, 5 th Floor East Palo Alto, CA 94304 TELEPHONE NO.: 650-328-8500 FAX NO.: 650-328-8508 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): DEFENDANTS POLO RALPH LAUREN CORP., ET AL.		FOR COURT USE ONLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Francisco STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, CA 94102 BRANCH NAME:		
CASE NAME: OTSUKA V. POLO		
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Limited (Amount) demanded is \$25,000 or less	Complex Case Designation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 1811)	

Items 1-5 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:

Auto Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Auto (22) <input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46) Other P/DP/W/D (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04) <input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45) <input type="checkbox"/> Other P/DP/W/D (23) Non-P/DP/W/D (Other) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Business tort/unfair business practice (07) <input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08) <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16) <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19) <input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25) <input type="checkbox"/> Other non-P/DP/W/D tort (35) Employment <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15)	Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (06) <input type="checkbox"/> Collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18) <input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37) Real Property <input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/inverse condemnation (14) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33) <input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26) Unlawful Detainer <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31) <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32) <input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38) Judicial Review <input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05) <input type="checkbox"/> Petition re: arbitration award (11) <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02) <input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 1800-1812) <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) <input type="checkbox"/> Construction defect (10) <input type="checkbox"/> Mass tort (40) <input type="checkbox"/> Securities litigation (28) <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental/Toxic tort (30) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41) Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27) <input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21) <input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43)
---	--	--

2. This case ☒ is ☐ is not complex under rule 1800 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:

a. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of separately represented parties b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substantial amount of documentary evidence	d. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Large number of witnesses e. <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court f. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision
--	--

3. Type of remedies sought (check all that apply):
 a. ☒ monetary b. ☒ nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. ☒ punitive

4. Number of causes of action (specify):

5. This case ☒ is ☐ is not a class action suit.

6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: September 7, 2006

William J. Goines

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 201.8.) Failure to file may result in sanctions
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 1800 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only

Page 1 of 2

Otsuka, et al. v. Polo Ralph Lauren, et al.

Case No. CGC-06-452655

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Andrea Beggs, declare that I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. I am an employee of GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP, and my business address is 1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor, East Palo Alto, CA 94303. On the date written below, I served the following document(s):

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET - COMPLEX CASE COUNTER-DESIGNATION

by placing said document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and served as detailed below:

Patrick R. Kitchin, Esq.
Law Offices of Patrick R. Kitchin
565 Commercial St., 4th Fl.
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 677-9058
Fax: (415) 627-9076
(Plaintiff's Co-Counsel)

Daniel Feder, Esq.
Law Offices of Daniel L. Feder
807 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, CA 94133
Tel: (415) 391-9476
Fax: (415) 391-9432
(Plaintiff's Co-Counsel)

☐ by transmitting via **FACSIMILE** the document(s) listed above to the fax numbers) set forth below, or as stated on the attached service list, on this date at approximately 3:00 pm, from the sending facsimile machine telephone number of 650-289-7893. The transmission was reported as complete and without error by the machine. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 2008(c)(4), I caused the machine to print a transmission record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to the original of this declaration. The transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine.

I am readily familiar with the business practice of my place of employment in respect to the collection and processing of correspondence, pleadings and notices for mailing with United States Postal Service/Express Mail, Federal Express and other overnight mail services. The foregoing sealed envelope was placed for collection and mailing this date consistent with the ordinary business practice of my place of employment, so that it will be picked up this date with postage thereon fully prepaid at East Palo Alto, California, in the ordinary course of such business.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 7, 2006 at East Palo Alto, California.



Andrea Beggs

EXHIBIT 13

1 Patrick R. Kitchin, Esq. (SBN 162965)
2 **THE LAW OFFICE OF PATRICK R. KITCHIN**
3 565 Commercial Street, 4th Floor
4 San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 677-9058
Facsimile: (415) 627-9076
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**ENDORSED
FILED**
San Francisco County Superior Court
SEP 08 2006
GORDON PARKER, Clerk
BY: MARIA GARCIA
12-00791010

5 Daniel Feder (State Bar No. 130867)
6 **THE LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL FEDER**
7 807 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, CA 94133
(415) 391-9476

8 Counsel to Ann Otsuka, Janis Keefe, Corinne Phipps,
and Justin Kiser

9
10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**

FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

11 ANN OTSUKA, an individual; JANIS
12 KEEFE, an individual; CORINNE PHIPPS, an
13 individual; and JUSTIN KISER, an individual;
and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 vs.

16 POLO RALPH LAUREN CORPORATION; a
17 Delaware Corporation; POLO RETAIL, LLC.,
18 a Delaware Corporation; POLO RALPH
19 LAUREN CORPORATION, a Delaware
20 Corporation, doing business in California as
POLO RETAIL CORP; FASHIONS OUTLET
OF AMERICA, INC., a Delaware Corporation
and DOES 1-500, inclusive

21 Defendants.

Case No.: CGC-06-452655

**DECLARATION OF PATRICK R. KITCHIN
IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' EX
PARTE APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION
OF TIME TO SERVE RESPONSIVE
PLEADING**

Complaint Filed: May 30, 2006

22
23 I, Patrick R. Kitchin, declare:

- 24 1. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice before the Courts of the State of
25 California, and make this declaration based on personal knowledge.
26 2. I serve as co-counsel to plaintiffs in this putative class action case.
27

- 1 3. On May 30, 2001, my office filed the unverified Complaint in this Court. On May
2 31, 2006, I contacted attorney Mary Guilfoyle, who represents the defendants in an
3 unrelated employment class action pending in the United States District Court for
4 the Northern District of California, by email to inquire whether she was authorized
5 to accept service of the Complaint on behalf of the defendants. I attached a
6 conformed copy of the Complaint to this May 31, 2006, email to Ms.
7 Guilfoyle. Several days later she informed me that she was not so authorized.
- 8 4. On June 8, 2006, my office served plaintiffs' unverified Complaint on all
9 defendants named in this action through their respective California Agents for
10 Service of Process.
- 11 5. Defendants' answers to that Complaint were due on or about July 8, 2006. When
12 no answer or other responsive pleading was filed, I again contacted Mary
13 Guilfoyle office, by telephone, asking to speak with her about Polo's failure to
14 answer the complaint. Her assistant told me that Ms. Guilfoyle was not in but that
15 she would pass on the message that I was concerned about Polo's failure to
16 respond. I never heard back from Ms. Guilfoyle in response to my query.
- 17 6. On July 20, 2006, my office filed the First Amended Complaint in this action to
18 add claims under the California Private Attorneys General Act, based on the same
19 set of facts alleged in their original complaint.
- 20 7. On July 28, 2006, my office served plaintiffs' unverified First Amended
21 Complaint on all defendants named in this action through their respective
22 California Agents for Service of Process. Defendants' responses to the First
23 Amended Complaint were due on or before August 28, 2006.
- 24 8. On August 24, 2006, I had a telephone conference with Jeremy Meier, one of the
25 defendants' counsels, in which he asked if I would stipulate to a thirty-day
26 extension. Mr. Meier told me that Polo had not yet retained his firm.
- 27

- 1 9. I explained to Mr. Meier that Polo had been on notice of this case since early June,
2 but that I was willing to provide up to 15 days extra time for his clients to respond,
3 contingent upon him calling me on or before September 6, 2006, to keep me
4 apprised of the status of his firm's retention on the case.
- 5 10. On August 24, 2006, I had a telephone conference with Mr. Meier and William
6 Goines regarding the defendants' responsive pleading. During that conversation, I
7 told counsel that I would provide defendants the full 15-day extension permitted
8 under California Rule of Court 201.7.
- 9 11. Defendants and their counsel contend that they need additional time to meet with
10 their client to engage in a "preliminary investigation of the underlying facts and
11 legal issues..." (See, Goines Declaration, ¶ 6.) They contend they will need to fly
12 to New York to meet with their clients before they will be in a position to file any
13 kind of responsive pleading.
- 14 12. I believe such a delay is unnecessary. First, defendants have been in possession of
15 plaintiffs' unverified Complaint since June 8, 2006, a total of exactly three months.
16 Defendants have been in possession of plaintiff's unverified First Amended
17 Complaint for 42 days, a complaint that is based on the nearly identical facts
18 alleged in the original Complaint. Second, plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint is
19 unverified. No amount of "factual investigation" or legal research will put
20 defendants in a better position than they are in today to file a responsive pleading.
21 Third, any delay in the bringing this action to issue will result in prejudice to
22 plaintiffs and to the putative class. A 30-day delay is unreasonable and
23 unnecessary.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was made on September 8, 2006, in San Francisco, California.

Patrick R. Kitchen

EXHIBIT 14

ENDORSED
FILED

San Francisco County Superior Court

SEP 12 2006

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk

By: TRAN KHUU
Deputy Clerk

WILLIAM J. GOINES (SBN 061290)
JEREMY A. MEIER (SBN 139849)
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor
East Palo Alto, California 94303
Telephone: (650) 328-8500
Facsimile: (650) 328-8508
Email: goinesw@gtlaw.com
meierj@gtlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants Polo Ralph Lauren
Corporation; Polo Retail, LLC; Fashions Outlet
of America, Inc.; and Polo Retail Corporation

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ANN OTSUKA, an individual; JANIS
KEEFE, an individual; CORINNE PHIPPS,
an individual; and JUSTIN KISER, an
individual; and on behalf of all other similarly
situated,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

POLO RALPH LAUREN CORPORATION,
a Delaware Corporation; POLO RETAIL,
LLC, a Delaware Corporation; POLO
RALPH LAUREN CORPORATION, a
Delaware Corporation, doing business in
California as POLO RETAIL CORP;
FASHIONS OUTLET OF AMERICA, INC.,
a Delaware Corporation and DOES 1-500,
inclusive,

Defendant(s).

Case No. CGC-06-452655

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' POLO RALPH LAUREN
CORPORATION; POLO RETAIL, LLC;
POLO RETAIL CORP.; AND FASHIONS
OUTLET OF AMERICA, INC.'S EX PARTE
APPLICATION FOR ORDER EXTENDING
TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

DATE: September 8, 2006
TIME: 11:00 A.M.
DEPT: ~~301~~ 212
JUDGE: Hon. Peter Busch

Date Action Filed: May 30, 2006

The Ex Parte Application by Defendants Polo Ralph Lauren Corporation; Polo Retail, LLC;
Fashions Outlet of America, Inc.; and Polo Retail Corporation for an Order extending their time to
respond to the First Amended Complaint of Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of others, Anne

1 Otsuka, Janis Keefe, Corinne Phipps and Justin Kiser ("Plaintiffs"), having come before this Court,
2 and good cause appearing therefore,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants Polo Ralph Lauren Corporation; Polo Retail,
4 LLC; Fashions Outlet of America, Inc.; and Polo Retail Corporation shall have a thirty (30) day
5 extension of time from September 12, 2006 to and including October 12, 2006, within which to file
6 their response to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
ARLENE T. BORICK
Judge Pro Tempore

Dated: 9 - 12, 2006.

Judge of the Superior Court

EXHIBIT 15

1 WILLIAM J. GOINES (SBN 061290)
 2 JEREMY A. MEIER (SBN 139849)
 3 GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP
 1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor
 4 East Palo Alto, California 94303
 Telephone: (650) 328-8500
 Facsimile: (650) 328-8508
 5 Email: goinesw@gtlaw.com
 meierj@gtlaw.com

**ENDORSED
FILED**
 San Francisco County Superior Court

SEP 15 2006

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk
 BY WESLEY RAMIREZ
 Deputy Clerk

6 Attorneys for Defendants Polo Ralph Lauren
 Corporation; Polo Retail, LLC; Fashions Outlet
 7 of America, Inc.; and Polo Retail Corporation

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

13 ANN OTSUKA, an individual; JANIS
 14 KEEFE, an individual; CORINNE PHIPPS,
 an individual; and JUSTIN KISER, an
 15 individual; and on behalf of all other similarly
 situated,

Plaintiff(s),

16 v.

17 POLO RALPH LAUREN CORPORATION,
 18 a Delaware Corporation; POLO RETAIL,
 LLC, a Delaware Corporation; POLO
 19 RALPH LAUREN CORPORATION, a
 Delaware Corporation, doing business in
 20 California as POLO RETAIL CORP;
 FASHIONS OUTLET OF AMERICA, INC.,
 21 a Delaware Corporation and DOES 1-500,
 inclusive,

22 Defendant(s).

Case No. CGC-06-452655

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING
 DEFENDANTS' POLO RALPH LAUREN
 CORPORATION; POLO RETAIL, LLC;
 POLO RETAIL CORP.; AND FASHIONS
 OUTLET OF AMERICA, INC.'S EX PARTE
 APPLICATION FOR ORDER EXTENDING
 TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED
 COMPLAINT**

Date Action Filed: May 30, 2006

BY FAX


25 TO PLAINTIFFS AND TO THEIR ATTORNEY OF RECORD:

26 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT ON September 12, 2006, this Court entered its Order
 27 Granting the Ex Parte Application by Defendants Polo Ralph Lauren Corporation; Polo Retail, LLC;
 28 Fashions Outlet of America, Inc.; and Polo Retail Corporation for an Order extending their time to

1 respond to the First Amended Complaint of Plaintiffs for thirty days, to and including October 12,
2 2006. A copy of said Order is attached hereto and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth.

3
4 Dated: September 15, 2006.

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

5
6 
7 William J. Goines
8 Jeremy A. Meier
9 Attorney for Defendants
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

A

ENDORSED
FILED

San Francisco County Superior Court

SEP 12 2006

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk

By: TRAN KHUU
Deputy Clerk

1 WILLIAM J. GOINES (SBN 061290)
2 JEREMY A. MEIER (SBN 139849)
3 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
4 1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor
5 East Palo Alto, California 94303
6 Telephone: (650) 328-8500
7 Facsimile: (650) 328-8508
8 Email: goinesw@gtlaw.com
9 meierj@gtlaw.com

10 Attorneys for Defendants Polo Ralph Lauren
11 Corporation; Polo Retail, LLC; Fashions Outlet
12 of America, Inc.; and Polo Retail Corporation

13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

15 ANN OTSUKA, an individual; JANIS
16 KEEFE, an individual; CORINNE PHIPPS,
17 an individual; and JUSTIN KISER, an
18 individual; and on behalf of all other similarly
19 situated,
20 Plaintiff(s),

21 v.

22 POLO RALPH LAUREN CORPORATION,
23 a Delaware Corporation; POLO RETAIL,
24 LLC, a Delaware Corporation; POLO
25 RALPH LAUREN CORPORATION, a
26 Delaware Corporation, doing business in
27 California as POLO RETAIL CORP;
28 FASHIONS OUTLET OF AMERICA, INC.,
a Delaware Corporation and DOES 1-500,
inclusive,

Defendant(s).

Case No. CGC-06-452655

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' POLO RALPH LAUREN
CORPORATION; POLO RETAIL, LLC;
POLO RETAIL CORP.; AND FASHIONS
OUTLET OF AMERICA, INC.'S EX PARTE
APPLICATION FOR ORDER EXTENDING
TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

DATE: September 8, 2006
TIME: 11:00 A.M.
DEPT: ~~301~~ 212
JUDGE: Hon. Peter Busch

Date Action Filed: May 30, 2006

25 The Ex Parte Application by Defendants Polo Ralph Lauren Corporation; Polo Retail, LLC;
26 Fashions Outlet of America, Inc.; and Polo Retail Corporation for an Order extending their time to
27 respond to the First Amended Complaint of Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of others, Anne

1 Otsuka, Janis Keefe, Corinne Phipps and Justin Kiser ("Plaintiffs"), having come before this Court,
2 and good cause appearing therefore,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants Polo Ralph Lauren Corporation; Polo Retail,
4 LLC; Fashions Outlet of America, Inc.; and Polo Retail Corporation shall have a thirty (30) day
5 extension of time from September 12, 2006 to and including October 12, 2006, within which to file
6 their response to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
ARLENE T. BORICK
Judge Pro Tempore

Dated: 9 - 12, 2006.

Judge of the Superior Court

Otsuka, et al. v. Polo Ralph Lauren Corporation, et al.

Case No. CGC-06-452655

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Cathy Sandifer, am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. I am an employee of GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP, and my business address is 1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor, East Palo Alto, CA 94303. On September 15, 2006, I served the following documents:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' POLO RALPH LAUREN CORPORATION; POLO RETAIL, LLC; POLO RETAIL CORP.; AND FASHIONS OUTLET OF AMERICA, INC.'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

☒ by transmitting via **FACSIMILE** the document(s) listed above to the fax numbers) set forth below, or as stated on the attached service list, on this date at approximately _____, from the sending facsimile machine telephone number of 650-289-7893. The transmission was reported as complete and without error by the machine. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 2008(e)(4), I caused the machine to print a transmission record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to the original of this declaration. The transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine.

☒ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the **UNITED STATES MAIL** at East Palo Alto, California, addressed as set forth below.

☐ by **OVERNIGHT MAIL** by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed overnight mail envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, addressed as set forth below. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if delivery by Federal Express is more than one day after date of deposit with Federal Express.

☐ **(BY MESSENGER PERSONAL SERVICE)**. I caused delivery of such envelope by hand to the offices of the addressee.

Patrick R. Kitchin, Esq.
Law Offices of Patrick R. Kitchin
565 Commercial St., 4th Fl.
San Francisco, CA 94111
(Fax: 415-627-9076)

Daniel Feder, Esq.
Law Offices of Daniel L. Feder
807 Montgomery St.
San Francisco, CA 94133
(Fax: 415-391-9432)


I am readily familiar with the business practice of my place of employment in respect to the collection and processing of correspondence, pleadings and notices for mailing with United States Postal Service/Express Mail, Federal Express and other overnight mail services. The foregoing sealed envelope was placed for collection and mailing this date consistent with the ordinary business practice of my place of employment, so that it will be picked up this date with postage thereon fully prepaid at East Palo Alto, California, in the ordinary course of such business.

//

//

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
2 true and correct.

3 Executed on September 15, 2006, at East Palo Alto, California.

4 
Cathy Sandifer

MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

09/15/2006 14:27
ID=GREENBERG TRAURG LLP

DATE	S.R-TIME	DISTANT STATION ID	MODE	PAGES	RESULT	S.C.
09/15	01'22"	415 627 9076	TX	008	OK	0000

09/15/2006 14:25 GREENBERG TRAURG LLP → 321#093800#914156279076# NO.233 0001

**Greenberg
Traurig**

Transmittal Cover Sheet

From:
William J. GoinesTel:
650.289.7860E-Mail:
goinesw@gtlaw.com

To:	Fax No:	Company:	Phone No.:
Patrick R. Kitchin, Esq	(415) 627-9076	Law Offices of Patrick R. Kitchin	(415) 677-9058
Daniel Feder, Esq.	(415) 391-9432	Law Offices of Daniel L. Feder	(415) 391-9476

File No.: 62321-093800

Re: Otsuka, et al. v. Polo Ralph Lauren Corporation, et al.

Date: September 15, 2006

No. Pages: Including Cover Sheet 8

If you do not receive all pages properly, please call the sender.

Notes: Please see the attached Notice of Entry of Order re extension of time to file to respond to the First Amended Complaint. Thank you.

Also sent via: ☐ US Mail ☐ Overnight ☐ Messenger ☐ Email ☒ No Other

The information contained in this transmission is attorney privileged and confidential. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone collect and return the original message to us at the address below via the U.S. Postal Service. We will reimburse you for your postage. Thank you.

1900 University Avenue, 5th Floor, East Palo Alto, California 94303 Phone: 650.328.8500 Fax: 650.328.8508
SV 346081283v1

MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

09/15/2006 14:30
ID=GREENBERG TRAURG LLP

DATE	S,R-TIME	DISTANT STATION ID	MODE	PAGES	RESULT	S.C.
09/15	01'29"	4153919432	TX	008	OK	0000

09/15/2006 14:26 GREENBERG TRAURG LLP → 321#093800#914153919432# NO.234 P001

**Greenberg
Traurig**

Transmittal Cover Sheet

From:
William J. GoinesTel:
650.289.7860E-Mail:
goinesw@gtlaw.com

To:	Fax No:	Company:	Phone No.:
Patrick R. Kitchin, Esq	(415) 627-9076	Law Offices of Patrick R. Kitchin	(415) 677-9058
Daniel Feder, Esq.	(415) 391-9432	Law Offices of Daniel L. Feder	(415) 391-9476

File No.: 62321-093800

Re: Otsuka, et al. v. Polo Ralph Lauren Corporation, et al.

Date: September 15, 2006

No. Pages: Including Cover Sheet 8

If you do not receive all pages properly, please call the sender.

Notes: Please see the attached Notice of Entry of Order re extension of time to file to respond to the First Amended Complaint. Thank you.

Also sent via: ☐ US Mail ☐ Overnight ☐ Messenger ☐ Email ☒ No Other

The information contained in this transmission is attorney privileged and confidential. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone collect and return the original message to us at the address below via the U.S. Postal Service. We will reimburse you for your postage. Thank you.